

150 years of Modern History

Written by Gregory Klyashitsky (GK)

1 Introduction

History is like an Ocean. To understand the Ocean we need to study Ocean's currents. When we go into different depths, we find few currents that coexist with each other. They have different direction, pattern, and power forces... Their movement and interaction defines Ocean's life and development.

Human history is also defined by various currents – power groups, their fundamental interests and fight for power. Several power groups coexist at the same time and push history into direction of their interest. Any historical event quite often is explained as a collision between only two power groups. We think that this is a bit of simplification. It is possible that major historical events are complex fight between all power groups where each group seeks to achieve several goals.

We will look at last 150 years of human history and try to identify major current that possibly was one of determining factors of historic development. Historic events that we will be talking about are well known, well documented and have generally accepted reasons and explanations. We would not try to convince anybody that known understanding of events is incorrect. Like in the Ocean where several currents exist at the same time (and place), there might be several battles that are going in parallel at the same time in history and as a result, the same events could have more than one reason and logic.

2 Beginning

We will start at year 186... or maybe at 187... or maybe... Major events that form history not always have definite day or year. We think that we will start at the second part of 19-th century.

2.1 British Empire

At that period British Empire was at the height of its power. It concurred half of the world. Its position in Europe was very strong. Country was moving forward leading the rest of the world. British pound was nearly a world currency and British fleet was dominating in World Seas. Political Elite of British Empire should be very satisfied and wish no more but to keep things as they were.

We think that at this period British (and not only British) Elite started feeling some discomfort. They might find themselves not the absolute owners of their Empire or country and even estates. You

ask me: “How come?” The answer is simple – money. All political, economical and even personal decisions require money. Money are needed to built a new railroad, to construct a new ship, to send troops to overseas location, even to renovate your own castle... Every project requires finances and political elite had to ask for money from financial people. In some cases, a plan needed to be postponed or cancelled because of financial reasons. After all, it might look (and feel) that not an Elite made real decisions, but Banks. It is quite possible that at some occasions some monarch might exclaim: “ I wish I own Banks!!” I never read this phrase in historic books, but if it was the case – it marks beginning of a new era.

2.2 19-th century

19-th century comes from 17-th and 18-th century – time when absolute monarchy was developed and ruled. The main personal assets then were in a family history, its nobility, proximity to monarch and support for his rise. Money, thought unavoidable part of life, was considered subject of low society and noble man should never be involved in money business. In 19-th century, things started shifting.

Napoleon Bonaparte with his exceptional vision and efficiency created opportunity for fast new development and new beast was born – economy. Economical development marked new era – Capitalism. New environment brought new social values. Money got more and more influence, power and respect. It is interesting to point out that the whole historic period (of economical development) is called not Economism or Industrialism, but Capitalism – after money (capital). This fact clearly indicates the essence of a new era and place where real power will be concentrated.

So what about Political Elite? They saw the new trend and felt the necessity of actions. It was clear that in coming world personal respect and value would be determined not by a family history (nobility), but by the “history” of a bank account. In short, British Elite needed to put financial system under their control (preferably to take over it completely). Well... It is easy to say than to do.

2.3 Financial Empire

In 19-th century Financial Empire was well established. It did not have clear territory or borders or nation. It was everywhere. For many, many, many years (maybe since the time of Abraham or maybe from Adam and Eve) finances were developing and were always part of the human world. In Europe for the centuries finance were very much given to Jewish people (thanks to the fact that religion prohibited this business for Christians). Rothschild rose above all and in last centuries created Financial Empire that dominated in France, Britain, most of Europe and practically in the whole world.

Everybody knows that banks don't keep their wealth in vaults. Money should work and grow. Young economy needs money, lots of money. With economical investments money grows as quickly as

never before. And power goes side-by-side. At the second part of 19-th century major assets of Financial Empire were in Banks, but also in investments, especially in continental Europe. Large portion of this wealth was in Germany recently united and growing with high speed.

3 Pit Stop I

At this point we identified time, place and two power groups. These groups have major problem and will go into collision to reach their goals. Historical current is established. Let's explore it and how this current possibly might affect historical events.

4 Battle Strategy

British Elite needed to develop a strategy to achieve their goal (put Financial Empire under control) and guarantee their power now and in future. British were very well experienced in concurring. That was their main job for the past centuries. They developed several strategies and tactical methods of how to take over territories and nations. But this was totally different task.

Financial Empire did not have territory or nation. Even worse – it was very much their own financial system, which British Elite and whole British Empire depended on. To destroy or even weaken Financial Empire was not an option. It required new strategy. Is it right? Maybe old good methods would allow achieving new goal?

4.1 Concurring Strategies

“Buying” Nation’s Elite

Typically, this strategy includes fooling, spoiling and buying nations elite. This is cheap and easy way to conquer a country. Using British charm and lies they introduce nation to British “highest and precious” values and pretend to accept national elite into their inner circle. Making various promises they convince these people to sell their country for price of glass beads and then, completely take over the country.

Divide and Conquer

Another strategy is based on nation unity. There are always several groups (tribes, groups in power, nations) combined under a single political system. Using their differences and deliberately aggravating and heating them up British spark internal battle. They help all sides to fight each other until they exhaust themselves in bloody battle and then... they come and take over. Later on, fighting groups will struggle to understand what was the reason that forces them to hate and kill each other (they used to live in peace for centuries). Too late...

War

Direct military action was always an option. If nothing works, British sent military ships to bombard

Cities and villages (reached from a sea), then sent military contingent with “mission” to bring savages to humane British values.

4.2 Strategy of a new battlefield

Most likely British Political Elite considered all available strategies, with different tactics, of course.

Buy Financial Elite

It is possible to introduce Financial Elite into British Elite and finally merge them together. Financial Elite though were primarily Jewish and direct merge was not possible. On the top of this, Financial people were far from being naïve and knew British Elite from inside out. British charm had no effect on them, since they very well understood its real value. Likely, that this was not really working strategy, at least not at that time.

Divide and Conquer

Rothschild kept family business tight. All system was closely related and linked with too many ties (personal, family, business, national). There are always issues among people, but they were able to stick together and this strategy would not have a chance.

War

It sounds like impossible option that not even worth to talk about. Let's give it a try.

Wars were typical and often effective way to resolve issues. The whole history of Europe (and known history in general) is full of wars. 17-th and 18-th centuries were not an exception. Wars between European countries and outside Europe were on-going events. Otto Bismarck once noticed that war was extension of politics, just by different means. With German clarity Bismarck pointed out that prime goal of war was political gain. That was the case before. Situation started changing in 19-th century.

Maybe the first war, which was driven by economical reasons, was the war between Napoleon's France and Russia (1812-1813). This historical period is very well studied. I would say that works of Eugene Tarle (historian that performed several deep studies of France, Napoleon and his politics) are among the best historical studies of that period. According to Tarle, Napoleon set the goal to make France the most economically developed country in the world. For this purpose in 1807 he declared new policy on a whole territory under his control (most of Europe) – Continental Blockade. The essence of this new policy was a complete blockade of British goods. Under such conditions Napoleon expected that French economy would quickly raise and surpass British economy. At the beginning of 19-th century British economy for Europe was pretty much like Chinese economy in modern days – the continental Europe was heavily importing and very dependant on British supplies (we are talking about economical goods, not food). Despite seemingly impossible task, Napoleon was able to enforce blockade. Continental Blockade put Europe into “starvation”.

British found work around. They massively shipped their unmarked goods into Russia, where they were marked with Russian labels and shipped into the rest of Europe (Russia and France has piece treaty at that time). Continental Blockade and main Napoleon's goal were failing. Tarle thinks that enforcement of economical policy was the main reason for Napoleon's invasion into Russia in 1812.

Napoleon was way ahead of his time. But in general, 19-th century wars mostly were triggered by political reasons. At the end of 19-th – beginning of 20-th century changes went quite far. Major countries developed strong economies and along with political reasons, economical targets began more and more guiding world politics.

5 The Battle begun

5.1 World War I

The World War I was a major event at the beginning of 20-th century. It is generally considered as fight between Germany (from one side) for redeveloping world map and Britain-France to keep existing colonies. It is, of course, correct. United and raised Germany needed, wanted (and probably has rights) to be a world power (like Britain, France and Russia) and demanded its portion of the world pie. Britain and France were trying to stop German raise and put it back into status of a small dependant country. Historian rarely considered economical reason(s) for WW1.

We think that this is maybe a shortfall. Let's return to our main stream. So British Elite had two major problems: rise of German nation to the world stage and raise of Financial Elite to the major power. These two processes were going in parallel and very much linked with each other. Why not to resolve both problems by one solution?

Goal of the War

British Elite was seeking the way to get control over Financial Empire. How war can be a solution? Of course they did not consider bombarding London's financial district or physically eliminating Financial Elite. But they knew that large portion of their assets were in European investments. Major war all over Europe would significantly damage their power and maybe, with some political manoeuvring and negotiations, would force Financial Elite for concessions. We are not claiming that this was in-fact British goal, but we think that it quite possible it might be in their perspective and could deliver result. What do you think?

War Result

It is generally recognised fact that Rothschild suffered big loses during WW1. It was their political and financial loss. If our suggestion is right (and Financial Empire was one of the War's target), it is possible to state that British Elite achieved their target – Financial Elite was weakened. The main question is - was damage significant enough to force them (Financial Elite) to major concessions?

During the War Financial Elite was able to keep their main asset, Bank system, intact. War was also huge financial venture by all involved countries and Banks were major beneficiaries. It is most likely that with huge war losses Rothschild also got significant gains and damage did not deliver critical mass. Financial Elite survived.

Post War

After WW1 it was time of large economical development. All over Europe infrastructure required quick restoration. After WW1 economical development started with even higher speed. More and larger investments were needed. Who can deliver them? Of course the same well established and fully functioning Financial Elite. In short period Rothschild's empire was able not only compensate their losses (WW!), but also probably got stronger. Financial Power was growing every year.

6 Wealth Redistribution

In general, wealth has two stages: growth and redistribution. The growth of wealth happens at periods of stable economical development. People accumulate some assets, businesses are growing, new business are establishing...

There are periods when totally different processes take place: growth stops, economy shrinks, people losses their money and assets, businesses are going into bankruptcy. This happens at war times and at periods of economical crisis. With these times people mostly associate losses.

But some people see huge opportunities; and not just see, but use them to full advantage. It is not a secret that wars create new wealth – very quickly and very big. Businesses, producing products required for a war, come with huge profits. People that supply war goods to the government create large wealth in few years (if not months). Banks are always among big beneficiaries of a war. All these people do not like to advertise the source of their wealth and do not like to talk about profits of a war. Neither does government or media. By all means wars are a mechanism to redistribute wealth.

Another major redistribution way is a crisis. When people lose their assets, businesses go into bankruptcy, banks quickly and quietly take over most of this wealth. Investment companies openly advertise these periods as a good time to invest and time of great opportunities! They buy significantly under-priced assets or even take over them using debt as a tool. They buy stocks that cost fraction of real value or sometimes nothing at all and take ownership of businesses, land, cities and sometimes states.

There is an important difference between these two mechanisms that you need to keep in mind. During the war redistribution process is largely controlled by government, which grants lucrative military orders to companies and people close to them. At the time of crisis situation is totally different and government cannot intervene in the wealth redistribution process which goes mostly in

the interest of banks and investment companies. During the war people and companies, affiliated with the government profit the most. At crisis time – financial organisation gets most of the wealth.

6.1 Strike Back

Great depression was time of great wealth redistribution. Financial Elite acquired great wealth and reached new height. Which wealth they have got? Everybody's. People from Political Elite were not able to avoid their losses – their houses, their businesses, shares, ships, planes, newspapers... You name it. But it is not all. We think that it is only a visible part of the iceberg. There are large number of people and related business that do not belong to Elite directly, but very much depended and linked to their interest. It might be some people that leave in the area of their power, or businesses that got some favour or support from political patrons. All of them create foundation on which Political Elite builds its power. With these people gone and "indirect investments" disappeared, the losses of Political Elite might be more that we can calculate.

Great depression was probably a strike back from Financial Elite. It was not so bloody, but it was very effective. We do not claim that it was a deliberate act or that it was planned in advance (though you cannot rule out this possibility). We simply state a fact that great depression delivered huge wealth redistribution primarily into the Financial Elite benefits.

7 Pit Stop II

If our logic is right, we can conclude that battle started on the full scale. Each side stroked its counterpart and used all available opportunities.

WW1 was strong blow to Financial Elite. However, the damage was not sufficient and using new opportunities and refined methods Financial Elite was able to strike back.

Probably it is right to say that after the first battle Financial Elite emerged stronger, gaining more wealth and power.

The first round was after Financial Elite. After all it is the Capitalistic era!

8 Next Round

British Elite were not happy, but they were very strong. Battle was far from over. They needed to revisit strategy. That's what they might think:

1. War delivered immediate result.
2. The damage was not sufficient.
3. Post war opportunities allowed Financial Elite to regain and exceed war loses.
4. Crisis was a huge blow to Political Elite and redistributed wealth in favour of Financial Elite.
5. Financial Elite increased their power and wealth during the period after WW1.

Well, something needed to be done.

Used strategy (WW1) did delivered some result, but it was not enough to produce sufficient damage to Financial Power. How used strategy can be modified or, maybe, a new strategy deployed to deliver the ultimate goal?

8.1 Investment Policy

Most of the Financial Elite consist of quite a distinct group – Jews. In post war development there was another clearly visible trend – rise of Jewish businesses and Jewish communities. Financial Elite seems specifically invested into Jewish communities.

Investment strategy is usually called investment policy. Investment is a primarily economical activity and it is intended to get highest return on money. Serious long-term investments, though, have also some political purposes. It is probably right to say that if a large investment has purely economical goal – then investing management is not doing their job.

It is a general perception (in Europe and outside) that if you are Jew – you are rich. Of course, it is a wrong perception. Not all Jews are rich (or good businessmen or smart). But the fact that this perception exists tells a story. Jewish communities formed very successful business communities. There are some national specifics that people might attribute to this success. It is a possible explanation. But was it all? After all Jews were acting in the countries where they were minority (visible minority) and national businesses (and people) always had huge advantage of local and national support and promotion.

Everyone knows that business need money. They are needed to start a business, they are needed for business to grow, they are needed in difficult times. It is quite possible that financial institutions (run by Jews) gave some advantages to Jewish business (easier loan access, slightly better (mild) conditions, more tolerance in crisis time). We might suggest that it was investment policy – to support Jewish communities. Is it strange? I would say it is very smart and correct policy. After all, to invest into your own nation is the best long-term investment. National Elite that does not have such a policy is short minded and is destined to failure on a long term.

The policy to invest into Jewish businesses most likely existed since mid of 19-th century or maybe earlier. Fast economical development after WW1 created tremendous opportunities for new businesses and Jewish community was able to get full advantage of the situation.

It looks very much that Financial Elite were building their own foundation and they were doing it on a world scale. This fact put a new perspective on the on-going battle for power. If Financial Elite build their foundation on Jewish community and made huge investment into it, than...

8.2 Anti-Semitism was rising.

Jewish businesses took over significant portion of national economies in many countries. This was especially obvious in Germany. After WW1 Jewish businesses were grown so significantly, that

Germans started openly talking that Jews took over their country and (??) are the main reason of their problems. Anti-Semitism was rising.

Well, anti-Semitism was not a new factor on the political landscape. It became a national trend in France at France-Prussian war at 1870 (Dreyfus case). It was used in Poland, Russia and other countries to defuse tension in difficult times. But in 1920-1930 it was different. The scale of it was much larger and it went much further.

Fascist parties and fascist ideology was built very much on anti-Semitic foundation. It was not just a political trick; it was fundamental principal of a new movement. National Socialism (official name of fascists party and ideology) targeted to create perfect socialistic country for the nation (and only for the nation). All non-nation (non-German, non-British, non-French...) people are excluded from it and considered as an obstacle. They should be suppressed (or eliminated). Jews, naturally, were included into non-nation group and since they were well distinguished from main nation (by appearance, religion, traditions...) and got too much wealth (and power) – they were the main target.

Again, it was not German specific point of view; it was foundation of the Nazi movement. Movement, that quickly got support and was rising in most of the Europe, United States and British (affiliated) countries (including Britain). For some people Hitler's hatred to Jews was disturbing. Other – saw the opportunities: chance to get some profit, or business opportunities from getting rid of Jewish community. Among those who saw opportunities were national elites and national business elites: If Jews were gone they would take their portion of the business. It is hard to say what opportunities have seen ordinary people? It is most likely that many of them became a subject of efficient fascist's propaganda.

8.3 New Strategy

Quick rise and large support that fascist movement got in Europe, US, Britain, and practically all western countries very well fit to the on-going battle for power. After all it was a modification of the traditional strategy: Divide and Conquer. Just it is not native tribes in a remote place, but people in western countries. If this movement got support and start real fight – Jewish communities would be very much damaged (or possibly eliminated in some counties) and with it, foundation of Financial Elite would disappear. Investments, made into Jewish communities, would be transferred into non-Jewish (preferably British) hands. When battle comes to the end, fascists would be to blame and Political Elite will emerge as arbiter that “restore” order, peace and democracy. Quite a plan! Is it not? After all, it was done by British in many colonies before. Why not here?

King Edward was so fascinated by Hitler and fascists that openly declared full support to them. And he was not alone. It is now that British (and other) elite people do not like to talk about that time and

do everything possible to wipe out the truth. At 20's and 30's many of them were supportive to Hitler.

Fascism raised all over the world and support from National Elites (including British Political Elite) suggested that attack was planned on a global scale. It intended to strike so hard that would force Financial Elite to surrender. After all it was about eliminating Jewish communities, and Financial Elite was part of them. National Political Elite might take over Financial Empire in exchange for immunity, or set major concessions as condition to stop the fight.

Of course, these are just thoughts. If you look for a hard proves you might never be able to find them. But if our historic view is right – some of the Political Elite, quite possibly, might have these thoughts.

8.4 Fascist's Germany

Hitler became a chancellor of Germany and shortly after seized whole power. Now it was time to see how far he is ready to go in his anti-Semitic policy. For Jewish people it was absolutely terrifying! Huge Jewish movement to escape Germany had begun. Jewish people used every opportunity: Britain, British Empire, France, USA, Palestine, South America – anywhere from Germany.

Surprisingly not, Britain and whole British Empire refused to take Jews. Hitler openly asked British – take Jews or he would destroy them. No, to take Jews was not a plan. Ships that carried Jewish refuges from Germany were diverted and forced to return back – back to Hitler.

British refused to allow Jews to come even to Palestine – at that time British protectorate. They sank few ships to force others to return to Germany.

Franklin and Eleanor Roosevelt made all possible efforts to accept Jewish immigrants without lengthily procedure. Congress blocked all their attempts.

Even such country as Cuba that was not part of British Empire refused (under British political pressure) to accept ship that arrived with Jewish immigrants.

What was going on? How all this can be explained? I am sure that some episodes will get “explanations”, but the whole picture, the scale and persistence to block Jewish escape efforts raises too many questions and creates suspicion that we are dealing with deliberate policy.

8.5 Changes of perspective – Europe at 30's

Winston Churchill was definitely part of British Political Elite. He was very high in noble hierarchy, actively involved in politics and decision-making process, very influential. At 30's he was not involved into active politics and decided to take a trip to Europe. He went to Germany. What he had seen totally changed his political perspective. He did not meet Hitler personally, but he saw much of

him. Hitler was everywhere – on radio, in newspapers, on streets. Churchill saw new Germany and new leader and (I think) he was scared.

He saw that Germany was developing with unimaginable speed and efficiency. It was moving forward not even on yearly calendar, but on monthly. Adolph Hitler was very strong, decisive and efficient leader. He opened wide doors for new people and personal abilities (not nobility) became main factor for promotion. This created huge opportunities and young people were eager to prove themselves. Young, talented and ambitious people were moving Germany forward in economy, business, technology, military and politics.

Hitler openly proclaimed huge ambitions for Germany and limits of his ambitions were not clearly set. It was clear though that his ambitions would grow with success and might not have limits. Churchill realised that Germany and Hitler might be a deadly threat to British Empire and quite possible to Britain and British Elite themselves.

When he returned to England he started actively lobbying political move to stop Hitler. It was difficult fight; fight within and against power people of British Elite. But changes became more and more evident and danger was growing with it. British Political Elite realized the danger that Germany and Hitler presented for them. Eventually, Hitler was recognised as bigger threat – they did not realise though how huge and real this threat already was for them! After all, Churchill got major support from people and, of course, from (not all) Elite. He became British Prime Minister.

Another significant change was American President – FD Roosevelt. Having Jew as American president makes global attack on Rothschild impossible.

It is right to say that two major currents are working together in history. One current (Germany) was recognised as bigger threat and this changed the historic development. Plan A (global attack on Rothschild) was put on-hold. But train was already running on full speed and cannot be stopped. Hitler began massive confiscation of Jewish assets (all of them) and started physical extermination of Jews. He was also growing as political, economical and military power. Germany already took part of Europe and Hitler was not intended to stop.

9 World War II

1939 – occupation of Poland. Churchill understood that time is working against them. Germany was developing much faster than other countries. With every new occupation, Hitler's power was jumping up.

Large part of Europe was still indecisive (who to join Germany or Britain-France), but if Britain and France give up next country (Poland in this case) – it most likely indicates to the rest of the Europe that Hitler is the ultimate power and Britain-France are not going to protect them.

Churchill might think (very reasonably) that if they delay actions – it might be too late and third countries would massively move to German's coalition.

9.1 War was declared.

I guess British and France, having combined forces, expected sort of repetition of WW1 scenario: long war with some active actions and long breaks; no decisive victory. Under such scenario Churchill hoped to stop German rise and put limit on Hitler's plans. Based on real economical and military forces, it was very reasonable political expectations. What happened next – was complete disaster!!

9.2 Occupation of France

It took only one month for Hitler to destroy combined British-French forces, get to Paris and occupy France... It was total blow that nobody (NOBODY) was expecting. In the blink of an eye major world powers, Britain and France, were eliminated. How this possibly can happen? Mistakes could be made, but not of this proportion!!

Over night world became a sad place... The rest of the Europe was falling into Hitler's hands – and who will blame them. Germany took over whole continental Europe.

On the top of this, France was gone from the scene completely (and now French economy was working for Hitler). British military prove to be absolutely no match to Germans (not technically, military).

It was clear that catastrophe is coming and there is no way to avoid it.

9.3 Strange war

Battle of Britain begun. But there were already few facts that rise questions and did not get proper explanation, even now.

Hitler did not destroy British forces (campaign of 1940). Even more, he allowed them safely escape the continent. He had complete control over the situation and it was clear and deliberate decision from Hitler to allow British to save their troops. In time of war? With major rival? There should be strong political motive for these actions (or rather inactions).

Strong German military contingent was sent to fight in North Africa. Why? It would take even part of Rommel's forces to take over Britain in few weeks. After all, by defeating Spain (Spanish Armada), Britain set an example of how to get into world stage – defeat prime force of the moment and then gradually take over its colonies. Why go to fight for some minor colonial territory when you can take over the whole empire at once?

Battle of Britain was limited to air strikes. It was going for few months and then suddenly stopped. Approximately the same time the second man in German hierarchy flew to Britain for secret talks. What was that talks is not released even now... But it was serious political talks. Interestingly enough that when Hitler invaded Soviet Union and all his forces were in the west, Britain did not

take any military action against Germany (being at war with it). Until 1944 (4 years) – no actions! Strange war, indeed.

9.4 Global Strategy

Political talk between Britain and Germany (in 1940) is still a major secret. But simply the fact of this talk speaks for itself. Really, to have political talk (being at war) both sides have to have strong common ground. What was the base for political talk between these two countries?

Hitler was building new world power – Germany. New power was based on a nation; new Elite was rising as National Elite. It clearly shows that though British Elite and (new) German Elite were fighting – the foundation of their power was the same.

Jewish Financial Elite based their power on Money (not on Nation). It was a global power not linking their interest with any particular nation. And it was threatening every National Elite to take over (controlling their finances).

This basic picture shows that Financial Elite was threatening all National Elites (British, French, German...). It actually became main enemy for all of them!

During post WW1 and especially at time of Great Depression Financial Elite accumulated unprecedented wealth and clearly became the global power. Hitler apparently fully recognised this fact and considered Jewish Financial Elite as a MAIN obstacle on the way for German national rise. This historic perspective explains many facts: the fact that MAIN point of Nazi program was elimination of Jews; why Hitler (and people around him) were absolutely anti-Semitic; why first thing that Nazi carried on every new territory was isolation, confiscation of all assets and then elimination of Jews; why in Germany Nazi made huge effort to identify (and eliminate) “hidden” Jews (1/2, 1/4 and for SS – tracing to 4 and 5-th generations).

Seeing awkwardness of the situation in 1940, we might guess that there was a strong political interest between fascist’s Germany and British Elite – Jewish Financial Empire. Very likely that Hitler still recognised Financial Elite as a major enemy and considered alliance between Britain and Germany (on this base) not only possible, but very likely. Only together (Germany and Britain) would be able to put down Global Empire and after – would decide spheres of global influence. Hitler was targeting elimination of Jews (and Financial Elite) on the global stage.

In 1942 Hitler shared his dream: to finish war in Russia, make alliance with Britain and together eliminate Jews in America. If that was his dream in 1942, it might be his plan in 1940. At least it gives explanation to German – British inexplicable war and political talk.

9.5 Russian Card

We return back to late 20’s. Anti-Semitism became a global political reality. Fascist parties were created in many countries and gaining ground. Hitler was quickly moving to power.

So, what Rothschild did?

They saw the danger, they saw a huge danger! They need counter plan and they developed it.

In European history Russia played important role. In pivotal moments it played decisive role. It was at time of Napoleon, it was in WW1... It is probably right to say that in critical European fight whoever holds Russian card – wins.

Rothschild saw that global attack on them is prepared and out of all powers, Russia was not part of the game. Where else they can go to create counterbalance after all?

At the end of 20's Stalin started major (MAJOR) economical development. He understood that for Soviet Union to survive he need to create strong modern economy in a very short time. And he did it! In 1942, even when large territory (well economically developed) was lost, Russian economy was capable to outperform German's (effectively whole European's) economy (we are talking about military production). To create such economy in a short period – it was quite an achievement. But my question is: "Where did he get finances?" Some people say that Soviet Union paid by gold that was produced during these years. Gold of Russian Empire was gone during revolution and civil war. If Soviet Union was capable to produce enough gold to finance major economical boom, it would always be richest and strongest country in the world – always. Bottom line is that there was significant investments maid into Soviet economy. Maid by whom? Russian card, I guess. Rothschild needed counterbalance and it was nearly their only option.

9.6 Europe under Hitler

When Hitler got to power he immediately started executing his plan. All Jewish businesses were closed, their assets were expropriated, Jews sent to camps for elimination. Wherever Hitler got his feet, this plan was executed with German precision. Worst nightmare (for Jews) was happening. Historian might argue regarding exact number of Jews perished – the fact is: Hitler completely eliminating Jews everywhere where he had opportunity.

We need to stop here. LONG PAUSE

9.7 End of the war

Since 1941 all German efforts were in the east. Having whole Europe in their hands and using German efficiency, they expected quick victory. And it looked this way at the beginning. But Russia is always unpredictable and stronger than any calculations made by West. Since 1943 things changed and picture was more and more clear – Soviet Union was going to win. I guess Russian Card played its role!

Since 1944 Nazi started preparation. Jewish assets were tremendous. Probably in modern currency we are taking trillions. Confiscated, they all went to German state, Nazi party and SS.

Since 1944, when result of the WWII was more and more clear, Germans started to transfer money to foreign banks. Massive transfers. This process was going until the end of the war in 1945. General knowledge is that Nazi Party and SS money were gone, completely gone and had never been found. Can you imagine at present time trillions of dollars transferred in short period and not being found anywhere?

There were various rumours circulating regarding where money might be: Perry, Uruguay, Argentine...

If anybody knows something about money, they would tell you one thing: "Money always go into direction of higher interest". Money is like water: Water always finds a way to get to lowest point; Money always ends up in highest (interest) point. Anybody can guess where interest was highest since mid 40's? It does not matter how, through which countries, banks, bank accounts money were transferred – they all came to their natural destination. It is very reasonable to suggest that money ended up primarily in American Banks.

There is one problem though: American finances were very much controlled by the same powerful Financial Elite that we are talking about. So, reader might suggest that money that was taken from European Jews were transferred to American Jews? No, we don't say so, and do not suggest this by any means.

There were other banks too. Anglo-American business community was major American force and they have their own banks. If you study Anglo-American Business Elite – you would find that many of them very much shared British Political Elite perspective. In America, fascist party was quite powerful in 20-30's and got support from some of Business Elite. Even more – Anglo-American Business Elite quite actively supported Hitler and have close business relationship with Nazi until the very end of the war. If Nazi money were transferred to America, they were transferred into banks that were closely affiliated with them. Anglo-American Business Elite very likely got most of this money and became major world power.

10 Pit Stop III

Let's return to our main topic – fight for power between British Elite and Jewish Financial Elite. Global attack on Financial Elite and their foundation was cancelled, but European plans happened in full scale. Jewish Businesses were completely gone from Europe. All their assets were confiscated and majority of them ended in hands of Anglo-American Business Elite. Jewish communities (the base of Rothschild power) were completely eliminated in Europe. Rothschild Financial Empire experienced major losses and their base in Europe was completely destroyed.

There were other important changes in our picture. British Empire seized to be a world power and with it – British Political Elite. Of course, it continues to exist, powerful and influential. But it is not a

world power anymore – power that decides the path of History. The power was transferred to another major group – Anglo-American Business Elite (AABE shortly). These people were not new in the world power game – they became just a major player. They came from the same fundamental roots as British Elite, shared their values and perspectives. They simply took baton of power from British. This inheritance included major divide that was in place – between AABE and Jewish Financial Elite and fight for power that comes with it.

If you refresh your memory regarding plans of second attack that British Elite might develop in 20-30's, you would be surprised how closely it was actually executed in 30's – 40's. It was not on a global scale though – and that was a problem.

Rothschild Empire survived and Jewish communities outside Europe also. The business power of these communities was strong, especially in America.

Despite tremendous losses, Rothschild Empire remained a global power. Ultimate target was not achieved.

10.1 Lessons learned

I think that Rothschild learned something very important points.

1. Anti-Semitism. Quick and massive rise of Nazi parties all over the world can be, to some extent, attributed to wide Anti-Semitic political view that was generally accepted and sometimes used in many countries. It was acceptable to portray Jews in negative way, to tell (sometimes nasty and completely untrue) stories about them. People of different statuses were able openly demonstrate such behaviour, and it was acceptable.

After WWII Rothschild (and all Jewish community) made and continue to make strong efforts to block such attitude. Massive documentaries show Nazi atrocities and closely link them to Anti-Semitism. Public opinion is constantly formed with general idea – Ant-Semitism (and Fascism) is NOT ACCEPTABLE. It is practically impossible to hear a joke about Jews in public. Labelling someone as Anti-Semitic can damage personal reputation if not to end someone's carrier.

2. Jewish wealth. Jewish wealth and power was definitely a contributor to the Anti-Semitism of 30's. It created tension between major nation and Jewish minority and heated anti-Semitic attitude – quickly and effectively. Of course, Rothschild did not intend to reduce Jewish power, exactly opposite. They simply needed to keep it hidden from general public. We are talking both – in business and politics. Since WWII there was no Jews in White House, and even among prominent political figures you can find just very few (distinct) Jewish politicians.

11 Cold War

We already spoke at the very beginning that there are several currents in the Ocean going in the same time. In History there are several major battles going on too. We already saw this example

when global attack on Jews was cancelled because there was a bigger threat (Hitler) to British Political Elite.

After WWII Communism became a major threat and centre of the battle was shifted. Karl Marks's historic view was that major social groups (classes) have different interest and this difference is the reason for major historical move. It was correct historic view, but you will have hard time to explain all history (colonial policy for example) by classes' fight. Still Marks's theory was very much correct for 20-th century: industrial working class became prime force of many events (big and small). Communist ideology became dominant in Soviet Union and Communist parties raised in several countries.

After WWII, Soviet Union became much stronger and its authority grown globally. Along with it grew the popularity of Communistic ideology. Eastern Europe formed strong international Communistic block and countries in other world regions started joining it.

Nothing better unite people than common enemy! Communism became a major threat for both Elites. Fight with Communism was joined efforts for Political and Financial Elites and we probably can say that for the post WWII period battle between both Elites became a secondary factor. Don't make a mistake – it was not time of love between them. Competition and fight for power between AABE and JFE continued and every presidential election and a major political decision was a battlefield. Just for few decades it was not a major historic battle.

11.1 Israel

One event after WWII is of some interest for us – birth of Jewish state. Jews did not have their state for nearly 2000 years. Move to create Jewish state in the historic land was going on for a century. In 1948 it happened.

Maybe this fact can be simply explained by changes that were going in the world: British Empire losses its colonies and new countries were born. But if we are right and battle between British and Jewish elite was going on for nearly a century, creation of Israel raises some questions.

It seems logical that British Political Elite (that was actually creating new states from their colonies) would be very much opposing creation of Israel. New world power AABE should be fully aligned with them.

Rothschild Empire was severely damaged during WWII. Weakened they were able to get a significant victory (Israel)? How?

Here is what we think. There was massive inhumane act against Jews during WWII and large part of British Elite and new AABE were active part and major beneficiaries. If things would go public... Jewish Elite did not start battle to bring active players to justice or even to public light. They might strike a deal instead: we would close the past in exchange for Jewish State. After all they are

practical people: lost money and perished people cannot be returned. Jewish State is a big step forward for them and the victory for all Jewish movement.

Since its creation, Israel plays an important role in the world game – un-proportionally large for its size (geographically, economically, military). It is for a reason. It is quickly became a main hub for Jewish people worldwide. It acts as major coordination centre and link in global JFE game.

11.2 Quiet time

From late 40' - to 60's life was going smoothly. US economy was booming. It gave good return on investments. AABE and JFE were taking full advantage of the situation. European economy and infrastructure required major money and it was highly profitable investments too. Wealth and power of both groups were growing. There was some difference in investment policies between both sides. JFE naturally invested into American Jewish community. AABE were investing in several economical directions. Oil became one of the world main asset and more and more major economical and political value. AABE traditionally were in this business and they heavily increased their investments in it.

12 Rothschild's respond

Things suddenly changed in 70's.

First of all, major battle – Communism. Communism was in decline since death of Joseph Stalin. Internal battles, lack of adequate leadership, strategic and tactical mistakes, economical inefficiency – all these factors were contributors to the shift. In 70's, Western Europe was solidly out of Communistic ideology; Eastern block became more and more shaking. USSR was loosing ground in the world – slowly, but surely.

With battle with Communism going in a right direction, battle between two power groups took more emphasis. It was not still a main focus, but it started coming into this stage.

In early 70's USA made major efforts to drop oil price. USSR, as large oil producer, was a target. But was it the only target? Since AABE invested heavily into oil industry and put so much emphasis in this particular segment – it was definitely strike on their interests as well.

In mid 70's economical crisis happened in the West. We already know that crisis is a major mechanism to redistribute wealth. And we already saw that financial organizations (and money in general) made major and very profitable acquisitions. Crisis happened in time when oil price was low and AABE group was already in money shortage. So economical crisis of 70's was redistributing assets in the interest of JFE.

But that was not all.

12.1 Investment as Policy

New investment policy started at 70's. We already discussed that Investment is not purely economical activity, but in many cases sets important political goals. We can draw simple chart of events:

- In 20's century politic is following economy. Many important political decisions were driven by economical needs.
- Economical development, in turn, is defined by Investments. Large Investors decide which economical sector or region will be next target and massive investments change landscape.
- Investments by themselves are defined by Interest Rate. So, Interest Rate is the factor that lies in the bottom of everything. But...
- Investments are also targeting to achieve some political goals. These goals are not set by politicians, thought, they are set by group that controls investments.

12.2 New Investment Policy

Investments into China was' naturally, result of higher returns. Having very cheap and hard working labour, companies that move production into China expected to get higher profit. Since labour is a major cost contributor, it makes Chinese made products extremely competitive, so competitive, that they start pushing Western (made in Western countries) products out of market. Taking into account huge Chinese work forces, this investment could completely reshape the world economical geography. And it did.

We might reasonably guess that massive investments into Chinese economy were also a political decision. It was massive investment and deadly for all Western economies, especially for US economy.

The iconic Hollywood movie of that period, "Pretty Woman", tells us Cinderella story. But who was a Prince? Of course, a successful young American businessman. What was the nature of his business? He was crashing American companies and reselling them into Asia. What was that again? Yes – it was a typical successful businessman of that time. If you look at American movies of that period, you would see the whole picture – (few generations) businesses are going down (transferred into Asia) and with them whole communities, small and medium size cities are going into diminution.

70's and early 80's was time when US lost nearly all their economy. For AABE group that was not just a crisis – that was a major hit.

The whole decade (drop of oil price, economical crisis and transfer of economy to China) looks like a well developed and orchestrated attack on ABBE group. I guess, it is right to say that attack on JFE at 30's-40's received a global respond of an adequate magnitude. Unlike global attack on Jews

(which did not happen) – attack on AABE was executed in full and on the global scale (and without blood!!).

Was it the end of the Battle? It was close, but not yet.

12.3 Globalization

We will take a short break in our development to discuss new world event – Globalization.

70's and 80's drew important line – I would say historic line: the end of Capitalistic Era. It is maybe strange statement (since Capitalism won the fight with Communism). We will explain it in the next paragraphs.

70' and 80's were characterized by process that was named “Globalization”. In people’s mind it was process when large corporations transferred their production to Asia, taking jobs from people in western countries. It was a real process, as we spoke. But there is another hidden and more important side. I guess, term “Globalization” comes as abbreviation of two words: Global Monopolization! That was the real process in 70's and 80's. Large corporations destroyed private business sector – completely. From that point power of corporations became absolute.

But it is still not all. Large corporations, as we know, are publicly traded companies. Well, don't be naïve – term “Publicly” here is not what you think. Eventually, corporations belong to few large groups (Investment companies, Funds, Trusts) who own major portions of them. These Funds/Trusts are owned by other Funds (larger in size and smaller in number). If you will be able to trace links to the very bottom (or better – to the very top) you will see that eventually TWO major groups are behind them. You don't need to work hard to guess what those groups are. Exactly, the groups of power that we are talking about (all day long). “Globalization” was eventually the process when two large power groups took over nearly whole world economy! That was truly Global Monopolization.

Now let's return to our point – end of Capitalism. Era of Capitalism has distinct qualities: freedom of market, freedom of initiative, high dynamics, constant economical grow and expansion. After 80-s all these features are gone. People from power groups now decide everything. All freedoms of Capitalism exist only in the past or on papers of professors' books.

Era of industrial growth has ended. Economy reached a point of completion and stop growing. Grow of China was not growth of global economy, but reallocation from the West.

Technological progress slowed down. Information technology was, maybe, the last major advance. We see minor development in fundamental science and engineering. Number of professionals declined and new generation of technocrats have hard time to find a job. Technical innovations cannot go through corporate walls and personal business initiatives are very much limited to “restaurant” sector.

We see major changes in society: industrial working class and farmers reduced to minor social groups. Bureaucracy, in opposite, grew unproportionally high.

We see major changes in social values. Generation of Capitalistic Era (and previous, actually) valued good skills and hard work. People tried to be productive, work hard and that was socially supported. New generation consider work as nearly minor and unfortunate part of their life. Have fun and enjoy life – that is a social motive.

Where all these changes will take us? Some people say that we live in time of The Second coming of Christ. Christ will bring message and then, well, I hope you read Bible.

Many people don't see it this way. Looking at the world at present and direction that it is taken I begin to think that these worries might be serious.

13 What is next?

Well. Let's come back to our topic. 70's and 80's were not the end of the world (but it might be the beginning of it). We will continue to explore major events and the role that Powers played in them.

AABE party was at their critical point. President Jimmy Carter went for a second term election with agenda of major changes and sacrifices. Changes of values, consumption policy, work attitude – briefly whole foundation of American society need to be changed to regain economy and power back. This was a serious and long-term agenda.

His opponent, Ronald Reagan, came from Hollywood, where everything is possible and always comes to a happy end. He assured American public that life is good and they can continue to enjoy it – things would be all right. No need to guess who got votes.

13.1 Perestroika

Many observers now say that at 80's America was on verge of collapse. New crisis comparable with The Great Depression was in front of their door. If that were the case, the Battle most likely would be over. But Gorbachev saved AABE. He began to sell to Americans all that he could. He started from Eastern Europe and soon lost his own country.

AABE (George Bush) got major bust. Not in economy, they did not get it back. But foreign monies were flowing into their hands. They massively extracted them from all new "colonies" (eastern Europe, Ukraine and Russia). On the top of this, with fall of Soviet Union, America became an unbalanced world power. For some period of time American government was capable of doing in the world stage whatever they wanted. It was very dangerous and misleading feeling though. In on-going battle of power it was not a resolution of development, but rather a short break.

13.2 European Union

Formation of EU was a major world process. It has to be a part of a global game and our players should be directly involved in it. Let's see how.

It is interesting to mention that uniting of Europe was predicted by Vladimir Lenin at the beginning of 20th century (the article "About Unites States of Europe"). Who could deny that Lenin was a genius?

Creation of EU is generally understood as a formation of another centre of the world power. Let's take a look at it from the very beginning – uniting Germany. Gorbachev made a big mistake agreeing to unite Germany. (He made so many mistakes that it is amazing how a person with such extraordinary inabilities became a Soviet leader!) Despite positive and cheerful media rhetoric, British and American powers were very, very disappointed. You might be surprised? United Germany caused chill in their back: "What? Again?"

Let's take a short walk in the history. When Bismarck started major process – creation of united and strong German state (mid of 19'th century), British look at it quite tolerantly. It was another power in the continent to balance France and Russia. War between Prussia and France (1870) was considered as part of the process and British watched it from aside.

But Germany proved to be a different beast. Thanks to German work ethics and superior organization, they advanced and advanced too far too quickly. In just a few decades Germany became a world power and claimed their place. It took The World War 1 to stop their development.

Weimar Republic was designed to keep Germany low. As low as a "colony". The whole post-war struggle in Germany was not because of their inability – it was well designed. If you compare situation, development and problems between Weimar Republic and Yeltsin's Russia, I bet, you would find lots of similarities. No surprise – they were designed by the same group with the same purpose!

Hitler put the end to this situation. Using German advantages and "fresh" people he was able to bring Germany to the world stage in just a few years. Strong united Germany was dangerous, and danger was huge. So huge that Hitler was capable to nearly end British power completely.

Lessons were firmly learned: united Germany was and will be a major threat. Since WWII Germany was divided and kept under control. Gorbachev made major mistake by allowing Germany to unite. The beast came back again!

This is when EU came to play. It looks like uniting European countries' markets, finances, and work forces would create a strong world player. It could be and it was, when EU was limited by strong countries (War in Yugoslavia was efficient American respond to fast growth of EU power and Euro currency).

Adding many weak countries into EU changed the emphasis. At first glance, including economically weak countries (of Western Europe and Mediterranean) into EU would work in German's favour, giving them (and France) new markets as well as control over these countries. If you compare European maps of 1941 and 2012 you will see striking similarity: united Europe under German's leadership.

There are few major differences. Hitler was complete political supreme of combined Europe. The fact, that some of the countries remained independent and joined coalition, was no more than formality. In EU small countries remain politically independent. In fact, to reach decision in EU, all courtiers have to have some consensus. The more players on the table – the harder to reach an agreement. Politically wise, EU was created as nearly a dysfunctional body (not a surprise!).

Even more, some of the EU members are not "European's" but rather British-American Trojan's Horse inside EU. Take Poland, for example. It plaid British Hand for more than a century and it continues to play it now. Or take Baltic countries. Their politicians are "immigrants" from America and more resemble CIA agents rather than political leaders. Or take small countries of Eastern Europe. They are so much under American control that their leaders can only decide where to spent vacation – and that is pretty much the limit of their decision freedom. Mediterranean Europe – Americans keep them into such tight financial cells, that these countries hardly can move.

It will not be an exaggeration to say that Eastern Europe put tight rope on German's hands, when Mediterranean Europe became heavy iron balls on German's feet. It is very hard to move in such conditions!

There is another small difference between 1941 and 2012. Hitler had his own military! In 2014, when major crisis was created in Ukraine, there was a strange power pause. Americans for a short period were caught unprepared. I guess, they expected much different respond from Vladimir Putin (something like sending troops to Kiev to restore acting president). With such actions Americans would blame Russia in intervention and suppression of democracy and try to create another major European war conflict (where EU cannot stay aside). Russian respond was very strong and well calculated. It was definitely not enough for a war. During this pause, politicians start talking about lack of leadership from US, and Chancellor Merkel took a stand. She started acting as sort of a leader. She even openly declared that US failed to protect EU interests and EU needed to create their own army. Big mistake! The consequences followed quickly. Not just fra Merkel was put back into place, and Americans completely took over the situation, they organized huge immigration (rather move of nations) into EU (primarily Germany) from the countries, which Americans just recently bombed into ashes (building democracy, of course). The size of the process exceeds Jewish world immigration of 30's (which was turned back to Germany). Taking into account that these people hate West and see little differences between Americans and others and the fact that they are Muslims, it is hard to believe that they would become good German citizens in a near future (if at all). On the top, they have higher birth rate... Well, if you make a

mistake, you have to pay for mistake. I am not sure how fra Merkel is considered a good politician: if you claim power, you better have an army!! If you are not prepared to claim power – be quite!

I guess that we might suggest that EU was deliberately organized to keep dangerous Germany tight and under control. If that was the case, then interests of both power players are very much in-synch: nobody needs another player on the table.

14 Last Chapter

14.1 American Political Power

At this time American Political Power seems became a major battleground. AABE group (still powerful and capable) needs political power as an instrument to continue fighting. After all, political power was always main instrument for British Political Elite and for AABE. Ronald Reagan's successor, George Bush, was a direct representative of AABE in the White House. It was sort of breaking unwritten rule – no direct man from any power group should go to presidency. Bill Clinton, young, smart and charming was a good respond from JFE. It is interesting coincidence that Clinton became a president in 1992. Gorbachev was thrown out of power (and Yeltsin got into power) just before this election. It is also interesting to note that George Bush said that in his opinion dismantling of Soviet Union was a mistake. I guess from AABE perspective it was, since Russia fell into JFE hands.

JFE was not sleeping. They were well prepared for a rush to get their hands on a new territory. When Yelt-Sin was dismantling Russian economy (one of the largest in the world) and selling all assets, 15 out of 20 new Russian oligarchs were Jewish!! How this was possible? Jews were thrown out of power in Soviet Union since 50's. They were not in party leaders (nationally or even locally), neither in State structures, neither in KGB, nether in army or police, neither in criminal organizations – all structures that were active participants in sharing Soviet Pie. How and when they suddenly got to the top and become so much owners of it? I don't believe in accidents in history, neither in special personal skills. Money – that is the answer. Rothschild group was well prepared and using (traditionally) Jewish Diasporas to get major Russian assets under their control (including Russian banks, of course). In on-going battle – that sounds like a big victory.

Note that with Clinton-Yeltsin in power acquisition process went in just 4-5 years. It is possible that JFE was ready to take Russia completely. For AABE it would be another and possibly final blow. They needed to take extraordinary measures. Scandal in White House paralysed Clinton's administration for nearly whole second term. It gave Russian national forces some room for manoeuvre and with KGB still a major player, they were able to bring Putin into power (not without major sacrifices, probably). Russia was "saved" and power battle was not over.

14.2 Plan of Actions

Clinton's scandal gave AABE chance to get power again into their hands. George W. Bush was the president from AABE. Getting power was important step. It was even more important to develop long-term strategy.

I guess at this point AABE was not planning major attack on JFE, but rather some long-term "survival" (as a major power group) strategy.

With major economy gone – their source of power was also jeopardised. They needed stable and massive source of money inflow that would allow them to remain on the map as the major power group. Of course, oil. George W. Bush doubled if not tripled oil price over the realistic value. Money starts flowing again. But it was just short-term flow. Long-term massive income – that is what they needed.

If you look at long perspective (British Empire and, inheritable, AABE) you will see that their main source of money (and as a result of power) was coming from outside. Small Britain itself cannot generate sufficient income to create major world power. Major source of AABE power was European money, transferred into their hands by WWII. British colonies, moved under American roof, were also foreign money. Even oil itself was a foreign source. Collapse of Communism was another major source of foreign money. British Political Elite and AABE for the most of the time got their power from foreign money sources.

So is a new plan.

No need to guess, China – the world most powerful economy and as a result a major money source. Ideally AABE needs to put China back into "colonial" status. Under such circumstances, they would be able to generate huge money inflow on a permanent basis. How to get there? Some say – impossible. Well, it was possible to collapse Soviet Union and get it (nearly) into their hands. Why it is impossible in China?

One option (A) is a traditional British approach of buying national political elite. Americans might try to create unstable situation in Chinese political establishment and maybe they get lucky and Chinese "Gorbachev" would come to power. Why not? Sounds like a possible plan. It worked once it might work again. I think that Americans actively working in this direction. There is one problem though. AABE has no time. This plan might require 25 or 50 years. AABE in the current situation cannot wait. They need immediate solution.

Another option (plan B) could be to split China into several parts. After all there are provinces with some cultural and historical differences. "Divide and conquer" approach was successfully used in many occasions and produced good results. There is evidence that process in this direction is also actively explored. China, though having some national specifics, maintains so far firm stand on national unity.

Here is another plan (C). Let's imagine that they would be able to completely isolate China (politically and military). Using combined NATO forces and all means of pressure – they might force China to a major concession. Getting one concession – they will continue to pressure to get more concessions until they get complete control over Chinese politics, economy and money. This plan sounds reasonable and can be executed in a few years. After all it is modification of “war” approach when force is a main instrument (without direct military strike).

14.3 Chinese game

To work out plan “C” AABE needed to completely isolate China. Europe and West was under their control. But there is again the same old card – Russia. Though much weakened, it was still very military capable, independent and, as usual, unpredictable. AABE needed to neutralize it. They might play with Russia game of “friends”, making small joint ventures, giving promises, providing “help” – overall game that we are “friends” (not enemies) and using this approach keep Russia “aligned” with West.

George W. Bush got quite low evaluation for his presidency by media. He was presented as not very political, not very educated, and definitely, not diplomatic president. I am not sure how much this perception is correct, but it is known for sure, that he was able to establish friendly relationship with Putin (and Russia) from the beginning of his first term.

One of his first foreign visits was in St. Petersburg, where he met with Vladimir Putin and spoke with him tête-à-tête for few hours (very unusual and specific step, especially for a first visit). After this meeting George W. Bush said one of his historic phrase (something like: I looked into Vladimir's eyes, and understood that I can trust him). Media was laughing at new President – so naïve, indeed!

I guess we did not understand George W. Bush. He said to AABE – we spoke and I got what we needed: we got an agreement and Russia is neutral. Note that during all period of George W. Bush presidencies (despite his poor reputation) relationship with Russia was stable and friendly. Even, when America invaded Afghanistan. Well, that war was well prepared. Even when America got into Iraq! That war was not well prepared (diplomatically), even purely justified. Major American's allies refused to join it. Keep in mind that Saddam Hussein had friendly and close ties with Russia and prominent Russian politicians openly called Putin to act and not to let Americans put Hussein down. Russia was silent.

So, if there was an agreement, what might it be?

One point is easy to guess – oil. Let's raise oil price and profit together.

There should be at least second point (major one). Let's say: agreement for influence. Something like: “We, Americans, do not intervene in former Soviet territories, and Russia stays neutral in other places, like Middle East and other”. Possible? I say so. This will explain Russian inaction in Iraqi case. Even more, Iraq might be the testing ground for Russian. And it proves to work.

We think that George W. Bush was not as bad president as media tried to portray him. He was successfully executing major plan.

14.4 Fight for power

Two terms of George W. Bush presidency came to the end. JFE made serious preparation not to allow trend of AABE political power to continue. Iraqi war was publicly criticized, in fact so much criticized, that it was declared as a major mistake of the American policy. Why it was a mistake? Why this war was worse than any other war that Americans carried in past 60 years? After all, it was a short military campaign, well prepared (there was no major resistance from Iraqi military or Iraqi nation). They got a country (that played quite independent) under their full control and massive oil resources with it. Why was it a mistake? You need to keep in mind that major media is controlled by JFE and that media successfully created such perception. Iraqi war was organized by AABE for the interest and full benefits of this group. From JFE stand point it was a mistake and they made general public to think their way.

George W. Bush was criticized on many other points. He was often portrayed in not positive light even being in power. In general, it was a preparation to create an opinion that people from that circle are not very smart and capable.

In the last year of Bush presidency JFE made major strike – another crisis happened. It was financial crisis of 2008 and many observers compared it (this might be exaggeration) with crisis of 30's. We already talk about crisis. General perception (and very strong one) is that they just happen.

Crisis of 2008 was deliberate set. The whole investment policy (since Clinton's administration) was clearly destined for it. Even people at a low level of finance system saw it coming and try to raise the flag. People on the top of investment perfectly knew it. They were getting whole picture on a regular basis. It is impossible to believe that a president of a large investment corporation did not know or did not understand the situation. The time for crisis was not an accident either. Last year of Bush presidency – it is too late to take long-term actions. Short actions? Whole Wall Street was part of JFE Empire. AABE has no real influence in this place. The only possible action to resolve crisis was massive (trillions) transfer of state money into Wall Street investment firms (into JFE hands directly). For AABE it was impossible. George W. Bush was destined for inaction, and this gives major argument against him (and people like him) – look, they are incapable to act in a critical moment.

Next election was already won before it started. JFE created situation when outcome was predefined and AABE understood it. Their candidate was not a real man.

New President, Barak Obama, solved crisis by transferring huge money into investments companies. As usual, crisis was used for major assets redistributions in favour of banks and investment companies. Their bad assets were transferred into state and people's pockets. Real

assets were acquired. Some fish (investment companies that were closed) needed to be sacrificed, but their real assets were transferred to neighbouring buildings (the same owner, anyway). Typical money factory. Well done!

14.5 Chinese counter game

Barak Obama is portrayed as a well educated, very polite and careful man. Politics, negotiations and diplomacy were the strong points of his political portrait. Strange though that he was unable to establish good relationship with Vladimir Putin. From the very beginning it was quite cold, and distance between them was constantly growing. Russia still was a major world player (despite all rhetoric).

With his exceptional diplomatic and negotiation skills, Mr. Obama was running one war after another. So-called Arab Spring was major interventions into countries (with open or hidden military actions). Every next step was irritating Russia, but Putin considered that agreement (reached with Bush) is still in place and despite criticizing American policy did not go into actions.

2014 was the final blow. Poorly hidden intervention into Ukraine with use of nationalistic extremists as major force was direct hit on Russia and Putin. It is interesting that Putin (very well balanced man and accurate politician) lost some control at this event and said to Americans – “It is over. It is impossible to made any agreement with you. You will betray it anyway”. So there was an agreement. And in Ukraine it was thrown away. It looks like our guess was right?

Russian Card again

Why Barak Obama (who knew about agreement) has done all this? He knew that it would end relationship with Russia and put it on the opposite side? What can possibly be American interest in doing this? Of course, Ukraine was a major acquisition. But it was already playing into American politics. And major assets were already taken. Why had he done it?

Well, things can get some light if you look at them from perspective of on-going battle. What if JFE saw the plan that AABE was after? Their goal would be to destroy it. If we guessed right, primary condition for AABE program was complete isolation of China. Neutralizing Russia was critical part of the plan. We think that Obama’s strike in Ukraine was deliberate, well calculated action, made to destroy Russia’s neutral stand. From this point on, serious alliance between China and Russia became a reality (economical, financial, political, diplomatic, military). AABE plan was destroyed, Rothschild plaid Russian card again.

There is interesting development in American policy happens when new President, Donald Trump, took office after Barak Obama. We already mentioned that Barak Obama carried on war after war, “building democracy” in Middle East and North Africa, primarily in countries geographically close to Israel and actively opposing Israel. When Donald Trump took charge, Americans suddenly stop

being concerned regarding “democracy” in this region. Prime focus became North Korea. Indeed, different people has major differences in their views... Or, maybe, different masters?

15 Is game over?

15.1 Is there an option?

Donald Trump, active American President, has tremendous challenges. He is not directly affiliated with any of power groups, but he represents Republican Party and, subsequently, interests of AABE. What possible options AABE and Donald Trump have?

Donald Trump (maybe the first president) openly declared that China is America’s main enemy and a war with China is coming. He also speaks about returning economy back to America. All these points are clearly represent interest of AABE group. If we combine these points, the plan might be:

1. To go to War with China, transfer Chinese assets into AABE hands and destroy Chinese economy
2. Restore American economy using China’s assets and market opportunity.

It is frightening plan, but it is not a new one. British and Americans always relied on force and war was their common method in the past. Now the situation is quite different though. It is, of course, nuclear threat that is more than reality in this scenario. It is also new economical reality.

15.2 If war is a possibility?

All previous history shows that a world war was considered (and used) as political option to achieve certain goals. It was time when national economies were independent, self-sufficient and strong. In case of the world conflict national economies were capable to sustain country’s needs and needs of war.

At present, the only country that has strong, self-sufficient economy is China. All major countries (and US especially) heavily depend on Chinese import. These include military supplies but, very much, general economical supplies. Stopping import from China puts countries (Europe, America, Common Wealth...) into halt and creates not just major economical crisis, but most likely collapse.

War Preparation

Before going to a war with China, West should create huge stock of major goods that are now produced in China.

Another alternative is to create sufficient economical segments in the western countries that would provide necessary supplies when Chinese import is unavailable. This requires major investments.

The question is, if AABE has time and sufficient funds to make these investments.

Another problem is western work forces, which became (in past few decades) much more expensive and less efficient. Alternative production (in the West) will be more expensive – for sure,

and less competitive. To create large economical sectors that are not competitive is quite a risk. This might be money poorly spent and it is hard to convince investors for such a venture.

Another option is to create alternative segments outside of China where labour is cheap and reliable, for example, in African countries or South/Latin American. The alternative economy outside of China might be smaller size – just sufficient to cover major (or, at least, basic) needs of critical imports.

It is an option, but it requires both – time and money.

War Scenario

Previous world wars were long and exhausting battles. It sounds like the next world war won't be a long event – West is not ready for a long and exhausting battle. Most likely Americans try to make it as short and decisive as possible. As an option they might use nuclear strike to achieve immediate victory (something like Hiroshima-Nagasaki on a larger scale). Having strong military alliance between China and Russia – it might be not just dangerous, but suicidal.

Maybe quick and decisive attack on the coastal China to get major developed regions under control and force China to surrender? Well, blitzkrieg strategy did not work in previous cases and it unlikely will work in a major war.

War Outcome

One major lesson that everybody should learn from the past world wars is that there is no victory for neither of fighting parties. War is too expensive and takes all available resources. It weakens (even victorious) party to the level where it might lose its major world status. The third party (no direct involvement) is a real winner. In both world wars main benefits (and eventually real victory) was on American side. There is no doubt that Europe will not be actively participating in a war with China. It would be very smart stand from them – wait for America weakened and then take this advantage to become a world power or world player. At the present, America was able to control Europe (Germany first of all), but with great efforts. It is very much a predictable outcome that in case of a war with China, Germany (and EU) will stand on their feet and America will face new reality.

There are lots to think about for AABE!!

There are still options “A” and “B” and they will work hard on them.

16 Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement

Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement (TPPA) was the last initiative by Barak Obama. US brought it into several countries in Pacific. President Obama offered European Union to join it. I guess because EU has some coastal territory in Pacific? O, yes, I forgot, French Polynesia, of course. EU Parliament was offered to read only part of the document before signing it. It is apparently remarkable agreement! I guess it was taken from another Agreement as a blueprint: North-Atlantic

Agreement. According to NATO agreement, members have to provide troops when Americans decide where, when and how joined troops will be used for those (American's) interests. TPPA sets similar relationship – American corporations get supreme power over local business and national law. It is a next step to make the world as American “colony”.

As members of NATO, Europeans already lost their military to Americans.

EU also lost control over their finances. UE banks are signed under Federal Reserve and essentially lost their independence. Taking into consideration large debts that many EU countries have (to American banks), whole EU finances is very much under American supremacy.

EU political system has also little freedom, functions with difficulties and many EU members are under American control. Even strong EU members (like Italy, France or Germany) have their political establishment under American influence.

European economy, at least large sector of it, eventually belongs to American corporations (sometimes camouflaged under European companies).

It is quite possible that the real purpose of TPPA was not only Asia-Pacific, but also very much EU. TPPA is a political agreement, which puts countries under American power. In signing TPPA, EU will completely lose their independence. It is the last point in the long process: to establish Anglo-American control over Europe.

We already talk about EU as means to control Germany. In this process, TPPA might be the next step. Having TPPA in place will essentially guarantee American's control over Germany. Regarding the name of the agreement, “Trans-Pacific” (I guess word “Partnership” does not mislead anybody), it might be chosen for reason – to mislead public in its real goal.

TPPA is still very much a mystery. Details of TPPA document are not known and we build our understanding on media interpretation (which might be far from complete if not completely misleading). From what we know – it looks like countries signed TPPA gives formal superiority to American corporations. With such understanding of TPPA, it is very much in the interest of AABE group and should be targeted to bring China into this agreement. But TPPA was developed and promoted by Obama administration (which represented JFE group). New administration (Donald Trump), which is more inclined to ABBE interests, disregarded TPPA as not representing American (AABE) interests. So what is TPPA? I guess we will develop better understanding if we now more about this document.

17 Pit Stop IV

We have seen long and exciting historic game – fight for power. It was fight between political (political-economical) and financial (financial-economical) powers. The process was very much similar to the battle in 17-th / 18-th centuries: religious power (of Rome) was fighting for supreme power with political (national) powers. I guess the final outcome was predefined by civilization development mainstream.

It looks more and more that game comes to the end. Maybe it was closed already. ABE already gave up some major stocks to JFE and possibly “merge” is on the way. Latest changes (November 2017) in Saudi Arabia look very much like a change of the ownership. If this is the case, then oil jewel of ABE is moving into new (likely JFE) hands.

If power battle is over, then we are facing new reality: there is one winner and one superpower – Global Financial Empire. What this means for the rest of the world and how it is going to change it?

18 New Reality.

Unlike British Political Elite or ABE, JFE never relied on force to reach their goals. Military power, especially, was not their method. Does it mean that we will see peaceful and quiet period? Possibly.

Crisis is a major mechanism for assets redistribution. In the world of global monopolization where fight for supreme power was settled (we guess) the needs for crisis became much less. Crisis periods will not disappear completely: periodically money need to be extracted from those who got too much of them and lost the view of their limits. Crisis will be used also to battle nationalistic movements that might get out of control once in a while.

JFE was using elaborate financial methods and it was (and is) their strength. Role of money (tremendous already) will be unprecedented. Everything will be (if not already) controlled by money.

There are world problems that need to be solved: rise of human population, shortage of fresh water and major resources... JFE will deploy sophisticated, hidden (and possible inhumane) methods to solve global problems.

World Economical development will be determined by targets that set by JFE. World economy will not grow, but will be stable on present level or slowly reducing (alongside with declining of world population).

Dollar as the world currency. JFE are true cosmopolitans. They have no permanent place of interest, but permanent interest (profit). I think that they were responsible for making dollar as a world currency. But it was in their interest at that time, not now. It looks like they are targeting to remove any national currency from the world stage and replace it with some fictional currency (electronic chips). In this case no country or government will be in control of finances, but only supreme power – them.

JFE traditionally does not have motherland. They simply follow money. As a result, America will lose status of absolute power, but will be in place as one of the (well balanced) world powers to guarantee stability in the world.

Europe seems exhausted its potential. It most likely will remain at the present level, but it has nowhere to grow.

Germany. Germany proves its efficiency multiple times and superiority as well. German goods now dominate in the world high-end market. Even if their products are not better than Japanese or Korean, people willing to pay higher price for German goods. This is a recognition of their superiority. Under such conditions Germany is always boiling and ready to take a stand. I guess this will be as long as it is united and nationally strong.

Russia – huge and still underdeveloped territory. It still waits for its time. There is a possibility that they will make a “deal” with JFE (like China in the past) and get a boost, or go to decline and will be forced to give up most of their territory.

China at present is a major JFE stronghold, sort of “motherland”. China already is in its golden status and will remain in it as long as this is economically beneficial. When labour (and overall production) cost in China raises, production will be moved from China (at least partially). It most likely will be diversified geographically.

Israel at this moment is the only Jewish state. We most likely will see rise of Judaism in the world and number of Jewish states world around. These countries very likely will see grow and prosperity.

JFE Super Power is already well hidden from public eyes. It will remain this way. For ordinary people life will continue as usual, politicians will play political games, media will talk politics and university professors will give lectures about freedom of market and modern politics, as usual.

Nations and their future. Nations were a fundamental factor in the whole human history. When people are combined together by common interest or vision – it always creates foundation for changes and fight (for national freedom, in this case). In the world of total Globalization this imposes real threat. We think that process of dissolving nations (de-nationazation, if you wish) is going for reason and it will continue.

Culture. Globalization is a real threat for any cultural development. The power of culture is in cultural diversity. I guess power groups understand this and they will make real efforts to take culture out of Globalization. Otherwise future of civilization will be jeopardised.

Balance of Power. Hegel was able to formulate fundamental principal: Everything in the Universe is result of coexistence and interaction of two opposites. I guess Power cannot be absolute. If Power exists then should be opposite power that balances it. What is that Power that balance JFE? I don't know.

19 Postscriptum: History, historian and historic facts

History is an exciting topic. In my view historians can be called “politicians of the past”. Indeed, acting politician should understand current situation, main forces and directions and have a plan of actions. Historian should do the same – discover main processes, powers, interests and explain political actions through the light of power group interest. It is quite similar, would you agree? If this is a case then there should be more common ground between politicians and historians.

Politicians are not objective – they act for interest of their group (and their own). What does it mean? Politician might understand direction in which main development is objectively going. But he would act in the interest of his group.

I guess, historians are not objective either. History is considered a “science”. It is based on facts, documents, numbers... Of course. But how information is presented and interpreted is not a science. It is very much determined by the interest of the group that historian represents. Naturally, everyone wants to have bread and butter, not just bread.

Regarding historic facts. Some facts are deliberate set ups. They might be produced to mislead opposite side, or fool political ally (alliance is always temporary), or took the blame from the real player (history was always in political mind)... Taking individual document(s) and showing them in certain light and sequence can easily take understanding in wrong direction and fool public in a desired way.

We did not base our consideration on particular documents or certain facts. We have chosen main processes to understand the historic development. These processes are long-term gigantic moves that are deliberately pushed by historic players in directions of their interests. Analysis of Large Historic Processes can give more objective picture and better understanding of history.

History is like an Ocean. Its path is determined by historic currents and there are several currents coexist on the same event. We tried to explore History from the perspective of one of the Historic currents. Are we succeeded? You will decide.

P.S. If you find this article interesting, you better copy it. I am not sure for how long it would be circulating on a public site.

Year 2017 AD